Laryngeal Paralysis

Most of us know the larynx is commonly known as the voice box and is located in the throat. We know that laryngitis is a condition where one cannot speak, but other than that the larynx does not get much thought. It is a vastly under-appreciated organ. The larynx is not just where sound comes from; more importantly it is the cap of respiratory tubing. The larynx closes the respiratory tract off while we eat and drink so that we do not inhale our food. If we need to take a deep breath, the muscles of the larynx expand and open for us. The larynx is the guardian of the airways, keeping whatever we want to swallow out and directing air in.

Laryngeal paralysis results when the abductor muscles of the larynx cannot work properly. This means no expanding and opening of the larynx for a deep breath; the laryngeal folds simply flop weakly and flaccidly. This means that when one needs a deep breath, one doesn’t get one. This can create tremendous anxiety (imagine attempting to take a deep breath and finding that you simply cannot). Anxiety leads to more rapid breathing and more distress. A respiratory crisis from the partial obstruction can emerge creating an emergency and even death.

Laryngeal paralysis does not come about suddenly. For most dogs there is a fairly long history of panting, easily tiring on walks, or loud breathing. Ideally, the diagnosis can be made before the condition progresses to an emergency.

Dogs with laryngeal paralysis demonstrate some or all of the following signs:

- Excess panting
- Exercise intolerance
- Voice change
- Loud breathing sounds
- Respiratory gasping or distress

The usual patient is an older large-breed dog; the most commonly affected breed is the Labrador retriever. The condition can occur in cats but is rare. The Bouvier des Flandres has a hereditary form of laryngeal paralysis that is able to affect young dogs.

Is Laryngeal Paralysis Part of a Bigger Neurologic Problem?

This question is still not fully answered. In one 1989 study, all dogs with laryngeal paralysis tested showed evidence of disease in long, large diameter nerve fibers in nerve biopsies from the rear legs. The suggestion was made that laryngeal paralysis represents only the beginning of a more widespread neurologic degeneration. If this were true, one would suspect we would see a more obvious disease progression but, in fact, it is not always clear that we do. At this time acquired (non-congenital) laryngeal paralysis is largely an idiopathic (cause unknown) condition. We still can say that a dog with laryngeal paralysis is 21 times more likely to develop megaesophagus, another neuromuscular disease.
The suggestion has been made that hypothyroidism may be a cause of laryngeal paralysis. This question is also not fully answered. We know that other neuropathies associated with hypothyroidism will respond to treatment for hypothyroidism but laryngeal paralysis in a hypothyroid dog will not. It may be coincidental that many older large breed dogs are hypothyroid and also have laryngeal paralysis or it may be that the laryngeal paralysis represents a state of neurologic disease that is too advanced to respond simply to thyroid hormone supplementation.

Making the Diagnosis

In order to determine if a dog has laryngeal paralysis, the larynx must be examined and this requires sedation. The level of sedation must be heavy enough to allow the larynx to be visualized but light enough for the patient to be taking some deep breaths. If the sedation is too deep for the diagnosis to be obvious, a respiratory stimulant called Dopram (doxapram hydrochloride) is given intravenously to stimulate several deep breaths so that the function of the larynx is clear. In a normal larynx, the arytenoids cartilages are seen to open and close widely. In a paralyzed larynx they just sit there limply while the patient breathes deeply.

If the patient is having a respiratory crisis when seeing the veterinarian, this diagnostic test can easily be followed by intubation (inserting a breathing tube down the patient's throat). This relieves the upper airway obstruction and the patient can breathe normally, unfortunately, sedation must be maintained to keep the tube in place.

A newer technique of visualizing the larynx involves threading an endoscope down the patient's nostril. This is tricky but the benefit is that sedation is not required. The downside is that specialized equipment is needed and the patient may not be cooperative.

Additional Testing

There are some additional tests that are helpful in evaluating the patient with laryngeal paralysis. Chest radiographs are important in ruling out aspiration pneumonia (from inhaling food material through the non-functional larynx), megaesophagus (which we have mentioned tremendously complicates a laryngeal paralysis case), and obvious tumor spread. Radiographs of the throat to rule out obvious throat tumor are also helpful. Complete blood testing including thyroid tests should also be included in the work-up.

The Crisis

If laryngeal paralysis is not treated, a respiratory crisis can emerge. In this situation, the patient attempts to breathe deeply and simply cannot, creating a vicious cycle of anxiety and respiratory attempts. The laryngeal folds become swollen making the obstruction in the throat still worse. The patient's gums become bluish in color from lack of oxygen, and the patient begins to overheat. For reasons that remain unclear, fluid begins to flood the lungs and the patient begins to drown (as if the laryngeal obstruction wasn't lethal enough).

The patient must be sedated, intubated and cooled down with water in order to survive. As soon as intubation is effected, the patient can breathe normally, oxygen can be administered and the crisis can be curtailed if it has not progressed too far.

But, of course, eventually the patient will have to wake up. Corticosteroids can be used to reduce the swelling, but ideally one of several surgical solutions is needed.

Surgical Solutions

The goal of surgery, whichever technique is used, is to relieve the airway obstruction permanently while maintaining the original function of the larynx (protection of the airways).

Ventriculocordectomy (De-Barking)

De-barking surgery is generally thought of as a surgical solution to a behavioral problem, but it is also a fair treatment for laryngeal paralysis. The usual method involves extending a long biting forceps down the throat and biting out the vocal folds. Obviously anesthesia is needed to do this and the fact that the surgical area is the larynx makes normal intubation for anesthesia impossible. This means either using injectable anesthesia or placing a tracheostomy (cutting a hole in the throat lower down) and intubating through that.

Removal of the vocal folds, of course, also removes the patient's voice, reducing barking to a whisper. The hole created by the absence of the vocal folds makes for a larger airway opening and is generally large enough to relieve the obstruction. Complications of this surgery include swelling and bleeding (which can cause obstruction in themselves, though, if a tracheostomy is placed any such obstruction is bypassed), and regrowth of a webbing of
vocal tissue. An alternative technique involves approaching the larynx from the outside of the throat instead of down the mouth. This method is more difficult and time consuming but has less chance of the development of webbing. A tracheostomy, if any, is allowed to heal closed.

Partial Arytenoidectomy
Another surgical technique involves only biting out one vocal fold and also biting out the arytenoids cartilage on the same side. There is more bleeding with this technique and a tracheostomy becomes more desirable. Surgeries involving removing part of the larynx have been associated with a 30% mortality rate in laryngeal paralysis patients.

Laryngeal Tieback (also called Lateralization Surgery)
This has probably become currently the most commonly performed surgery for laryngeal paralysis. It involves placing a couple of sutures in such a way as to pull one of the arytenoid cartilages backward. By repositioning one of the arytenoids, the opening of the larynx is changed (made larger). The chief complication of this procedure stems from the fact that only a few millimeters of position change in the arytenoids are needed. If the cartilage is moved too much, the larynx cannot properly close and aspiration pneumonia becomes a substantial risk. Commonly these patients have a persistent cough after eating or drinking. This surgery has been associated with a 14% postoperative mortality rate. (In older times, both arytenoids were tied back to create a still larger larynx but tying off both cartilages in this way was associated with a 67% mortality rate so it is no longer done).

The April 15, 2006, issue of the Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association published a review of 39 dogs receiving unilateral tieback as described above. Of these dogs:

- 18% developed pneumonia just after surgery. One of these 7 dogs was euthanized, the other six recovered with treatment.
- Only 2 dogs (5%) were confirmed to have developed pneumonia more than 6 months after surgery. One of these dogs had multiple episodes of recurring pneumonia.
- 28% had persistent coughing after surgery.
- 90% of owners felt their dog had improved life quality after surgery.


Castellation
In this surgery, a square of the thyroid cartilage is cut (similar to a castle's turret's square behind which an archer might hide). This square is moved forward and reattached to create a wider laryngeal opening. A tracheostomy is frequently needed to protect from swelling.

In June of 2001, the Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association published a survey of complications in a group of 140 dogs receiving surgical treatment for laryngeal paralysis. A summary of the results is:

- Of the 140 dogs, 34% were Labrador retrievers and 80% were classified as large breed (>48 lbs).
- 82% were over 6 years of age.
- Dogs with underlying neurologic disease were 3 times more likely to die from complications associated with laryngeal paralysis.
- Factors that significantly raised the risk of dying were: increasing age, need for a tracheostomy, concurrent respiratory disease, concurrent neurologic disease, and the development of a megaesophagus.
- Overall 34% of dogs had some kind of complication from surgery. The most common complication was aspiration pneumonia (see below), which occurred in 23.6% of dogs at some point.
- Of the dogs that developed aspiration pneumonia, about 60% developed it in the first 14 days after surgery.
- After aspiration pneumonia, the next most common complication was respiratory distress which occurred in 5% of patients.
- Approximately 3% of dogs died during surgery or in the 24 hours following surgery.
- About 19% of the dogs in the study received temporary tracheostomies. Of these dogs, 40% had tracheostomies that were not planned and were put in as an emergency procedure. The other 60% of tracheostomies were planned as part of the laryngeal paralysis surgery. About half of the dogs that had tracheostomies had some kind of surgical complication, surprisingly more dogs died where the tracheostomy was planned vs. those where it was placed as an unplanned emergency procedure. (15 dogs had planned tracheostomies and 7 of them died, 11 dogs had emergency tracheostomies and only 1 died).
- Approximately 8% of the original 140 dogs developed complications more than 1 year after surgery.

Aspiration Pneumonia

While only about 10% of dogs being evaluated for surgical correction of laryngeal paralysis already have aspiration pneumonia, nearly 25% will develop aspiration pneumonia at some point. Pneumonia is always potentially life-threatening and aspiration pneumonia is particularly difficult to clear since it involves large contaminated food particles in the lung. Broad spectrum antibiotics, fluid therapy and physical therapy are important tools but, sadly, the underlying condition that led to the original aspiration pneumonia, is likely to produce future episodes. Please see Pneumonia Management for details on treatment.
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